

Have Your Say | Kāpiti Coast

CLOSED: Share your feedback on our draft Speed Management Plan 2026-36 (Waikanae to Ōtaki) Results

Aug 03, 2026 - Sep 16, 2026

Project: A new Speed Management Plan for Kāpiti 2026-36

Form: CLOSED: Share your feedback on our draft Speed Management Plan 2026-36 (Waikanae to Ōtaki)

Tool Type: Form

Activity ID: 308

Exported: 2026-10-01 16:53:34

Filtering by 'Select a suburb where you'd like to give feedback':

All Of The Following Must Be True

* Select a suburb where you'd like to give feedback Contains 'Te Horo'

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I think the recommendations are very logical and sensible especially with the growth and general increase in traffic moving faster.

Additional recommendation for the future. With the increase in size of Summerset Waikanae, Ferndale Drive is not a 50km/h capable road, and it should be reduced to 40km/h. Future traffic calming measures should also be investigated. Also, current traffic travels too fast past the cemetery on Ngarara Road above the 50km/h speed limit. Calming measures should be considered. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

80-84

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

I do not support changing the speed limit around Te Horo. The proposal should be withdrawn unless Council can demonstrate a specific, documented safety problem supported by crash data, measured vehicle speeds, road-user volumes and a transparent cost-benefit assessment. A general policy objective should not be sufficient justification for changing the limit on a rural road that does not have continuous pedestrian activity, shops, pedestrian crossings or a comparable town-centre environment. Council should not waste public money designing, consulting on or implementing traffic-calming measures that are not evidence-based. Please stop wasting money designing and implementing things that are not needed. Public funds should be directed to locations with a demonstrated record of deaths, serious injuries or genuine conflicts between vehicles and vulnerable road users. Please do not do work that is not needed and cannot demonstrate a clear, measurable and proportionate safety benefit. The lack of a direct interchange on the Expressway at Peka Peka and Te Horo is also an important consideration here. There is no northbound offramp or southbound onramp serving these communities. Consequently, residents and local businesses already face additional travel distances and delays when accessing the expressway. Further speed reductions would increase those disadvantages by making the local route slower without providing residents with a more convenient expressway connection.

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

I do not support changing the speed limit and it should be 80km/h similar to the surrounding roads. The proposal should be withdrawn unless Council can demonstrate a specific, documented safety problem supported by crash data, measured vehicle speeds, road-user volumes and a transparent cost-benefit assessment. A general policy objective should not be sufficient justification for changing the limit on a rural road that does not have continuous pedestrian activity, shops, pedestrian crossings or a comparable town-centre environment. Council should not waste public money designing, consulting on or implementing traffic-calming measures that are not evidence-based. Please stop wasting money designing and implementing things that are not needed. Public funds should be directed to locations with a demonstrated record of deaths, serious injuries or genuine conflicts between vehicles and vulnerable road users. Please do not do work that is not needed and cannot demonstrate a clear, measurable and proportionate safety benefit. The lack of a direct interchange on the Expressway at Peka Peka and Te Horo is also an important consideration here. There is no northbound offramp or southbound onramp serving these communities. Consequently, residents and local businesses already face additional travel distances and delays when accessing the expressway. Further speed reductions would increase those disadvantages by making the local route slower without providing residents with a more convenient expressway connection.

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

I do not support changing the speed limit around Te Horo, roads should be 80km/h similar to surrounding roads. The proposal should be withdrawn unless Council can demonstrate a specific, documented safety problem supported by crash data, measured vehicle speeds, road-user volumes and a transparent cost-benefit assessment. A general policy objective should not be sufficient justification for changing the limit on a rural road that does not have continuous pedestrian activity, shops, pedestrian crossings or a comparable town-centre environment. Council should not waste public money designing, consulting on or implementing traffic-calming measures that are not evidence-based. Please stop wasting money designing and implementing things that are not needed. Public

funds should be directed to locations with a demonstrated record of deaths, serious injuries or genuine conflicts between vehicles and vulnerable road users. Please do not do work that is not needed and cannot demonstrate a clear, measurable and proportionate safety benefit.

The lack of a direct interchange on the Expressway at Peka Peka and Te Horo is also an important consideration here. There is no northbound offramp or southbound onramp serving these communities. Consequently, residents and local businesses already face additional travel distances and delays when accessing the expressway. Further speed reductions would increase those disadvantages by making the local route slower without providing residents with a more convenient expressway connection.

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

There was no feedback radio button to feedback on Te Horo Beach speed limit changes and speed humps that I could find. My feedback is as follows:

I support practical, evidence-based safety improvements around schools, town centres, villages, marae and other locations where there is a demonstrated history of deaths or serious injuries. However, I oppose reducing an existing 50 km/h limit to a permanent 30 km/h limit merely as a generalised treatment where the road environment, traffic function and actual operating speeds do not support that change.

A permanent 30 km/h limit may be appropriate in locations with a genuine concentration of pedestrians, shops, pedestrian crossings, transport hubs, car parks or other activity that creates an ongoing conflict between vehicles and vulnerable road users. That reasoning should not automatically be applied to rural or semi-rural areas without those characteristics. In such locations, pedestrians can generally walk off the carriageway, and the absence of shops, crossings and continuous pedestrian activity should be recognised in the assessment.

The Council should avoid spending money on signs, consultation, enforcement and administration for 30 km/h limits that drivers are unlikely to understand or consistently observe. Before adopting each proposed reduction, Council should publish the road-specific evidence, including:

- Crash history.
- Measured operating speeds.
- Traffic volumes.
- Pedestrian and cyclist volumes.
- Road geometry and visibility.
- The expected reduction in deaths and serious injuries.
- Travel-time effects.
- Full implementation, maintenance and enforcement costs.
- The benefit-cost assessment.

Where a safety concern is concentrated at particular times or locations, the Council should use the least-cost effective treatment. Depending on the evidence, this could include variable 30 km/h school-zone limits, improved lighting, footpath maintenance, vegetation clearance, sightline improvements, road markings, targeted enforcement or a safer crossing arrangement. A permanent 30 km/h limit should be reserved for streets whose physical design, adjacent land use and level of pedestrian activity genuinely support that speed.

I specifically oppose the proposed speed reductions and speed humps at Te Horo Beach. No crash evidence has been provided to support either proposal, and I understand that no accidents have been reported there that would justify such an intervention. Council should publish the relevant crash history, measured vehicle speeds, traffic volumes, pedestrian and cyclist activity and the predicted safety benefit before proceeding.

In the absence of evidence demonstrating a significant safety problem, these works should not proceed. Speed humps would add cost, noise and inconvenience for residents and road users, while potentially delaying emergency services, buses and other legitimate traffic. They should not be designed or installed simply because they are included in a general speed-management programme.

Council should not waste public money designing, consulting on or implementing traffic-calming measures that are not evidence-based. Please stop wasting money designing and implementing things that are not needed. Public funds should be directed to locations with a demonstrated record of deaths, serious injuries or genuine conflicts between vehicles and vulnerable road users. Please do not do work that is not needed and cannot demonstrate a clear, measurable and proportionate safety benefit.

I also oppose reducing the speed limit from 80 km/h to 60 km/h on rural roads in the Te Horo area, including Gear Road and Old Hautere Road. These roads are rural in character and do not have the continuous pedestrian activity, shops, pedestrian crossings or other features that would justify applying a lower urban-style speed limit. A reduction would increase travel times for residents, farmers, service vehicles and through traffic without clear evidence that it would materially improve safety.

Before making any change, Council should publish the crash record, measured operating speeds, road-user volumes, road geometry, proposed safety benefit and full implementation cost for each individual road section.

Unless there is a documented and substantial safety problem, the existing 80 km/h limit should be retained.

I also do not support changing the speed limit at Peka Peka. The proposal should be withdrawn unless Council can demonstrate a specific, documented safety problem supported by crash data, measured vehicle speeds, road-user volumes and a transparent cost-benefit assessment. A general policy objective should not be sufficient justification for changing the limit on a rural road that does not have continuous pedestrian activity, shops, pedestrian crossings or a comparable town-centre environment.

I also request that the former State Highway 1 between Waikanae and Ōtaki be returned to a 100 km/h speed limit outside the built-up town-centre areas. This route has minimal traffic compared with its former role as the main highway, and there is no significant pedestrian activity outside the town centres. Pedestrians in the rural sections can generally walk clear of the traffic lane. There is no evidence presented to justify any further reduction in these rural sections, and Council or NZTA should not impose lower limits simply because the road has been bypassed by the expressway.

Any proposal to reduce the speed limit further should be supported by road-specific evidence, including crash history, measured operating speeds, traffic volumes, pedestrian and cyclist activity, road geometry and a transparent cost-benefit assessment. In the absence of such evidence, the speed limit should not be reduced further, and the rural sections between the built-up areas should be considered for restoration to 100 km/h. The lack of a direct interchange at Peka Peka and Te Horo is also important. There is no northbound offramp or southbound onramp serving these communities. Consequently, residents and local businesses already face additional travel distances and delays when accessing the expressway. Further speed reductions on the former highway would increase those disadvantages by making the local route slower without providing residents with a more convenient expressway connection.

If further speed reductions are nevertheless proposed for the Peka Peka or Te Horo area, they should be considered alongside a proper access solution. At a minimum, this should include investigating a northbound offramp and a southbound onramp at Peka Peka. It would be inequitable to impose both longer access journeys and slower speeds on local residents without assessing whether improved interchange access could provide a better overall transport outcome.

I therefore request that:

- The former State Highway 1 between Waikanae and Ōtaki be returned to 100 km/h outside built-up town-centre areas.
- No further rural speed reductions be introduced without published, road-specific safety evidence.
- The existing 80 km/h limit on rural sections be retained if a return to 100 km/h is not accepted.
- The proposed speed reductions and speed humps at Te Horo Beach be removed.
- No speed humps be installed at Te Horo Beach unless clear, road-specific evidence demonstrates that they are necessary.
- The speed limit at Peka Peka not be changed without compelling road-specific evidence.
- Peka Peka and Te Horo not be subjected to further speed reductions without assessing the access disadvantage created by the absence of a direct interchange.
- NZTA investigate a northbound offramp and a southbound onramp at Peka Peka before imposing additional restrictions on the existing local route.
- Town-centre and pedestrian-focused 30 km/h reasoning not be applied to rural areas without shops, crossings or continuous pedestrian activity.
- Variable school-zone limits be used where the risk is concentrated around school arrival and departure times.
- Council publish the evidence, predicted safety improvement, travel-time effect and full implementation cost for every proposed speed-limit change.
- Actual speeds and safety outcomes be measured after implementation before the programme is extended to further roads.

The Council's draft plan contains 14 proposed speed changes and 49 infrastructure safety improvements. That overall programme description does not itself establish a crash-based case for Te Horo Beach, Gear Road, Old Hautere Road, Peka Peka or any other individual road. Each proposal must be justified on its own evidence and merits.

The Council's consultation material distinguishes between permanent 30 km/h limits in places with continuously high activity, such as shops, transport hubs and car parks, and variable limits intended for school-period risks. That distinction should be maintained rather than applying the same treatment to rural roads.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 **Where do you live?**

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I can't see how I can provide feedback relating to: Page 27 "Deferred from 2023-33 Concept Plan 3 – Te Horo Beach". Putting in speed reductions and speed humps here is a waste of money.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Te Horo Beach does not need speed bumps, the concrete trucks are ruining Te Horo Beach road - we just need the huge bumps created by constant commercial use repaired. No speed bumps are necessary at Te Horo Beach, I live on Rodney ave and have for 3 years - no boy racers down this way.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

60-64

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I want to know where to give feedback on the te Horo beach speed bumps and 30km zone. Are you legally allowed to do a 30km zone that is not linked to a school site? 5 speed bumps is too many. I have a small car and the bumps cause me pain. I'd prefer you to reseal te Horo beach road as it is so bumpy it hurts my spine and I need physio. Imagine I'll need more physio with the speed bumps. I am not for this.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

55-59

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I'd like to see a move to extend the current 30 km/h speed limit zones beyond all primary schools into the catchment areas, to permit an increase in cycling to school to be performed safely. Say at least 500 m from every school.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

On behalf of an organisation

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Kapiti Cycling Action

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

80-84

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

No

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Reduce speed limit for all of Te Waka Road to 60 km/hr. Te Waka Road is narrow, there are a number of blind entrances to residences with entrances and exits onto Te Waka Road, the road is used by a number of walkers, there is no footpath, the road is often used by large trucks which are impossible to pass without moving off the bitumen and there is a nasty sharp edge to the bitumen which can destroy car tyres.

The value of speed restrictions is reduced by failure to monitor the speed of vehicles using minor roads such as Te Waka Road. Develop a comprehensive plan to monitor speed restrictions across all roads.

Repair intersection of Te Waka Road with old SH1. A nasty sharp edge has developed to the edge of the bitumen as a result of large vehicles having to cut the corner.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 80-84

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Health NZ encourages Council to consider whether a consistent approach to speed management is appropriate at other marae and community destinations with a similar mix of vulnerable road users, pedestrian activity and motor vehicle traffic.

As land use, traffic volumes and patterns of road use change, Health NZ supports regular reviews of speed limits to ensure that they remain appropriate for the surrounding road environment.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

On behalf of an organisation

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Health New Zealand (Te Whatu Ora)

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

45-49

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Other: Wellington Central

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Firstly, why is the Pukenamu Rd speed limit set at 80kmh when many other roads with far fewer house entries, horse riders, dog walkers, children on bikes and mature cyclists, have a speed limit of 60kmh. I did previously ask for the speed limit to be reconsidered when Pukenamu Rd was included in the an earlier phase of the speed management plan consultation, but my comments were ignored, and not responded to. Meanwhile, many surrounding roads, e.g. Pekepeka, Gear rd, etc. now have or are proposed to have 60kmh speed limits. what is the difference? why them and not Pukenamu? A reduction is even proposed for Te Horo Beach road but not Pukenamu?

Secondly, the corner I live on is a blind corner and there is an ! (exclamation mark sign) right on the corner that can be seen from the Morrison Rd approach. While this is a technically accurate road sign, it does not appear to mean much to the current generation of drivers. This problem combined with having just passed a sign saying 80kmh speed limit seems to give drivers the impression that they don't need to slow for the corner. A sign warning of horses and or hidden driveways and or sharpish corner, would be much more useful than an exclamation mark. And for vehicles coming from the other direction (Te Horo Beach Rd towards Durham Rd) there is no warning to indicate there is any corner at all. Of course drivers should be looking at the road, reading the conditions etc etc, but many drive in a dream and my neighbour's mail box has been taken out about 3 times in the last few years. There have also been two really nasty accidents, both of which were not reported to the police, although cars rolled and broke though property fences.

My biggest concern is for riders' safety, ie, the safety of myself and my grazers and neighbours, who would like to be able to ride down the road to the beach.

I also drive my horses in harness, as do several other local residents and signs warning of slow horse drawn carriages on the roads about Te Horo, ie Te Hapua, Durham, Morrison, Pukenamu, Te Horo Beach, and Harakeke roads would be very useful to improve safety. Unfortunately it is not always possible to pull onto the verge in a carriage as the wheels are often metal and sink into soft verges.

I would be very happy to take someone for a drive in my carriage so that you can experience how vulnerable riders and drivers are along Pukenuamu Rd.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 60-64

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

By moving the stops back, you now push utes and larger vehicles in to road. Simply leave the stops, but raise them.

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Raise the road limit between Otaki to just before TH to 100km and 100km from Rod Cliftons to Pekapeka.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

55-59

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

The current 80kph speed limit on Pukenamu and Te Horo Beach road needs reducing to 60kph. The amount of users from walking groups, cycling groups horse riders, dog walkers, running groups not to mention people try to exit and enter their driveways has risen considerably in the last few years. I see Pekapeka road has been reduced to 60kph and it is more of a main thoroughfare than the Te Horo Beach roads, it would be nice if o see this implemented before someone is killed by a speeding driver

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

60-64

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

65-69

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Ōtaki

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Your assessment has happened outside of peak school hours. 60cm is too much, all of the cars will overhang onto the road with that set back and you will create a one way street and have accidents as a result. Do your assessment at 2.50pm on a weekday during the school term (when the footpath is actually being used) to understand this area better.

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Time it better with physical works planned on roads.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

35-39

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Ōtaki

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I support all of the proposed speed reductions within the Te Horo area. Lower speeds will help improve safety for a range of road users and better reflect the nature of many of our local roads.

In reviewing the Speed Management Plan, I have noticed that many of the proposed reductions refer to improved safety outcomes for cyclists. While I fully support these benefits, I believe the Plan does not adequately recognise the safety benefits for horse riders and horses, which are also regular users of many roads in the Te Horo area.

Reduced vehicle speeds provide significant safety advantages for horses and riders. Horses are large animals that can be startled by fast-moving traffic, and lower vehicle speeds give drivers more time to react when approaching horses on the road. Reduced speeds also lessen the likelihood and severity of accidents involving horses, riders, cyclists, pedestrians, and other road users.

In addition to supporting the proposed speed reductions, I would like Council to consider a further reduction on Pukenu Road, from 80 km/h to 60 km/h.

Pukenu Road is well known within the local equestrian community and serves as a major horse-riding route, effectively functioning as a "horse highway" connecting riders to neighbouring roads, trails, and properties. Despite this regular equestrian use, the current 80 km/h speed limit does not adequately reflect how the road is used.

Given the number of horses and riders using Pukenu Road, I believe a 60 km/h speed limit would be more appropriate and would improve safety for all road users while still allowing efficient travel through the area.

I encourage Council to recognise the important role that horses and riders play in the Te Horo transport environment and to consider equestrian safety alongside cyclist safety when making speed management decisions.

Thank you for considering my submission.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 55-59

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I ask Council to:

1. approve SL5 and reduce the new Gear Road section from 80 km/h to 60 km/h;
2. record a continuous 60 km/h treatment through the new and adjoining existing section as the preferred corridor outcome, consistent with the commissioned audit;
3. request officers to identify and progress the appropriate statutory pathway for the adjoining section at the earliest practical opportunity, without delaying the current Speed Management Plan or associated funding process;
4. include an indicative timeframe or reporting point so that the short intermediate 80 km/h section does not become an indefinite anomaly;
5. monitor operating speeds and compliance at a representative location on the straight section after implementation, and across the wider Gear Road transition, to confirm that the new limit is understood and operating as intended; and
6. consider whether targeted treatment of the most unforgiving culvert and headwall hazards remains warranted through normal safety, maintenance and funding programmes, recognising that a lower speed limit reduces potential crash severity but does not remove the physical hazards.

If the wider consultation identifies other similarly discrete adjoining speed-limit anomalies, it may be efficient to consider them together through the same type of focused future review. However, I do not suggest that this should reopen or delay the present Speed Management Plan.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 45-49

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Waste rate payers money

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

This is a waste of money

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Currently the limit is fine

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Currently tbe limit is fine

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Fix the real issue in kapiti and stop wasting money

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

60-64

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

I think there is enough space and cars just need to not over park into them. We need a pedestrian crossing

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

The school needs proper side walks and a pedestrian crossing and proper car parks along the grass. I understand that may be private land and or MOE. BUT the school is growing and the council should pull finger and get it done. As rate payers in te horo who get barely anything for our money this is the least you can do.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

30-34

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Thames Pacific requests that Council:
- record this submission as a request for a 50km/h or 60km/h speed limit across the Grange frontage on Old State Highway 1, to be introduced progressively as titles issue and dwellings are occupied, and to be assessed for inclusion in the next review of the Speed Management Plan; and
- provide for the frontage treatments this environment will require as it becomes urban - a gateway or threshold treatment at the northern and southern extents of the developed frontage, appropriate approach treatment, signage and lighting on the roundabout approaches, street lighting along the frontage, and safe crossing points near the early childhood centre and the reserve entrances.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

On behalf of an organisation

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Thames Pacific

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice No

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text -

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

No

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

60-64

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Hautere

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

However I recommend the change should include the short 450m section of the original part of Gear Road that the plan indicates would remain at 80km/hr. Gear Road reverts to 60km/hr 100m west of the intersection with Settlement Road. Settlement Road and the remaining section of Gear Road, east of Settlement Road are currently 60km/hr.

There is no footpath on the original section of Gear Road which is popular with dog walkers and horse riders, while the grass berm is wide, safety for those users would be improved with a posted speed of 60km/hr. Also, it does seem a bit silly having a section of road only 450m long with an increase in speed!

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 65-69

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Teach more personal awareness, especially with children

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

70-74

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Paraparaumu

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

The driveway parallel to Kapiti Road from the BP station to Te Roto Drive should be one way with the entrance at Te Roto Drive and exiting via Te Roto Drive and trying to turn right all Te Roto Drive put their life in their hands judging the traffic signal phase.

Could the Council change the speed limit from the traffic lights at Amohia Street and SH1 until after Kapiti Primary School. Also, a roundabout and give way signs entrance to Epiha Street would slow traffic making the turn into Epiha Street railway carparking safer. It is currently a blind spot.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

70-74

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Paraparaumu

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Yes. Too many drivers go through red lights!! and from Kapiti Road to Arawhata the lights only let 3 cars turning right.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

80-84

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Paraparaumu

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Drivers won't obey.

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Yes. A solar speed sign both north and south on Kohekohe Road. It's become a main th and most motorists travel between 50km/h and 70km/h, including Council Contractors.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

70-74

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

n/a

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

80-84

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

No injury crashes in past 5 years - proposal un-necessary.

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Already agreed on earlier pages.

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Please do not persist with this nasty fad for speed humps and raised pedestrian crossings. They are of no benefit to pedestrians and serve only to annoy motorists. Creating droves of angry motorists is not conducive to road safety. I would prefer to see many thousands of dollars saved by not pursuing these un-necessary projects spent on reducing the council's debt.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

70-74

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Raumati

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

70-74

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Speed limit on Old Hautere Road should be reduced to 70 kph rather than 60 kph. The current 80 kph is too fast and is, unfortunately, largely ignored.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

75-79

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Longer cars like Utes are already on the edge of the road. Pederstrians have no issue going past cars here. leave it as it is or you then create another hazard by having cars sticking out on to the road. Either that or half the distance you are moving it but moving it 600mm is not required and will create another issue

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Put it all as 60km

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

I support this. Moving the wheel stops approximately 600mm prevents vehicles overhanging and obstructing the footpath, at what should be a very low cost, with no penalty to any road user.
This is a good example of a proportionate, targeted intervention, and there should be more of this kind of thinking in the plan.

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

I do not support this reduction. Council's own concept plan records no reported injury crashes at this site between 1 February 2021 and 30 April 2026. A speed limit should be lowered where there is demonstrated, unacceptable risk to life, not as a default position and not to align the posted limit with the speeds drivers already choose. Reducing the limit in the absence of a crash problem imposes a travel time cost on every road user for no measurable safety gain, and no benefit-cost ratio has been published for this proposal.
The stated justification is that average vehicle speeds are already around 58 km/h, so the proposed limit better matches current travel speeds. That is not a safety argument. It is an argument that drivers are already selecting an appropriate speed for the road.
The secondary justification, that lower speeds would reduce the risk of vehicles leaving the road and striking deep ditches, points to a roadside hazard problem. Address the hazard.
No Cost Benefit Disclosure Statement has been prepared for this site, so the trade-off cannot be assessed at all. I ask Council to confirm the basis for the clause 3.2(1)(c) exemption, given Table 13 of the plan lists Gear Road as a change to an existing posted speed limit.

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

I support this. It certifies an existing posted limit on a new 1.26km section of road built under the Peka Peka to Ōtaki Expressway project, with no change to what drivers currently experience — the concept plan records an average operating speed of 34 km/h. The cost is negligible and it corrects a legal defect.

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

I support this, on the same basis as SL6. It formalises existing posted limits on a new section of road with no practical change for road users.
I would ask Council to confirm why a single short section requires two different posted limits, as a consistent limit would be simpler for drivers.

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

I support this. A new subdivision road defaulting to 100 km/h is plainly wrong, and setting a 50 km/h default across the growth area is sensible forward planning at negligible cost. This should not, however, be treated as endorsement of any further reduction below 50 km/h within the extended area without site-specific justification.

Long Text

Traffic survey methodology

Every recreational zone proposal that cites data does so as a single pair of figures for an entire road — a seven-day travel volume, and a count of vehicles per day exceeding 60 km/h. Nothing is disclosed about where on the road the survey was taken, over what dates, or how the recorded exceedances are distributed across the day.

That omission is decisive, not technical. These treatments are justified as protecting people using reserves, parks, beaches and cemeteries — activity that occurs in daylight and, for reserves and parks, largely at weekends and after school. If the recorded speeding is concentrated in evening and overnight hours, when the road is empty and drivers face no opposing traffic, then the speeding and the pedestrian exposure do not coincide in time, and permanent physical treatment addresses a risk that is not present when people are actually there.

Council holds this information. Pneumatic tube counters record a time stamp for every vehicle as a matter of course, and the hourly distribution is a standard output, not an additional survey. It has not been published, and without it no ratepayer can assess whether any of these proposals is warranted.

I ask that the final plan publish, for every site where speed data is cited: the survey location on the road, the survey dates, and the distribution of recorded exceedances by hour of day and by day of week. Where the recorded speeding does not coincide in time and place with the activity the treatment is intended to protect, the proposal should be withdrawn.

The same point applies to survey location. A single figure quoted for a whole road tells the reader nothing about whether the speeds were recorded at the point being treated. Where a count taken on a long open straight is used to justify humps several hundred metres away in front of a reserve, the data does not support the proposal — it merely accompanies it.

I also note that several proposals carry no published speed or volume data whatsoever, including Park Avenue, Takitimu Road, both Raumati Village locations, Beachwater Grove, Tutanekai Street, Lancelot Grove, Ōtaki Cemetery and Awa Tapu Cemetery. Council is asking ratepayers to fund permanent physical works at these sites on no published evidence at all.

Cost transparency

The plan asks ratepayers to endorse 14 speed limit changes and 49 infrastructure improvements without publishing the cost of a single one of them. Table 16 gives five programme-level totals and nothing more. At the stated accuracy band of minus 30 per cent to plus 50 per cent, the \$4.745m programme could land at \$7.1m. That range is acknowledged in a footnote and then not addressed anywhere in the document.

I ask that the final plan publish, for every proposal, an individual rough-order cost and a benefit-cost ratio, or a stated reason why a BCR cannot be calculated. At present benefit-cost ratios exist for two projects out of 63. One of those two returns a BCR of 1.5 on an assumption of five pedestrians a day. That is a thin basis on which to commit public money, and it is the best-evidenced project in the document.

Three proposals — Lancelot Grove, Ōtaki Cemetery and Awa Tapu Cemetery — are marked unsubsidised, meaning they fall entirely on ratepayers with no NZTA funding assistance. These should be individually costed and justified before adoption, not bundled into a programme total. All three were also excluded from the resident pre-consultation recorded at Table 11.

I also note that Table 16 is headed "Total Cost (\$000)". Read literally, the programme total is \$4.745 billion. It is presumably a labelling error, but it is the central financial table in a consultation document and it has not been checked.

Budget discipline

The plan states that if new projects emerge, the programme will be reprioritised to stay within the approved budget, with approval from the Group Manager Infrastructure and Assets. There is no equivalent commitment for cost escalation on the projects already listed.

I ask that the final plan commit to: reporting actual against estimated cost for each completed project; referring any project exceeding its estimate by more than 20 per cent back to Council before proceeding; and publishing post-construction speed and crash data so the benefit actually delivered can be compared against the benefit claimed.

Speed limit reductions without demonstrated risk

Several proposed reductions sit on sections with no reported injury crashes across the five-year assessment period from 1 February 2021 to 30 April 2026 — Marine Parade and Howell Road, Peka Peka Road, the Mill Road extension, Gear Road and Taylors Road.

Roads exist to move people and goods. A speed limit should be reduced where there is demonstrated, unacceptable risk to life, not as a default position and not to match the speeds drivers already choose. Matching a posted limit down to observed mean speed does not improve safety; it removes headroom and criminalises behaviour that the plan itself describes as normal for the road.

Old Hautere Road illustrates the problem. Council's own Cost Benefit Disclosure Statement projects a reduction of 0.02 expected injury crashes per year against an increase of 1,009 travel hours per year across all road users. On the plan's own figures, the travel time cost is of the same order as the monetised safety benefit. That calculation is not shown. It should be, for every proposed reduction.

Infrastructure: proportionality

The school zone programme extends treatment to a 1km buffer around every school in the district on the basis that this is the distance students will walk or cycle. The risk it addresses is concentrated in two short periods on school days — around 190 days a year. The mitigation is permanent, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

Council's own monitoring records a 15 km/h mean speed reduction already achieved from the variable 30 km/h limits and existing treatments. That is a substantial result from measures targeted at the actual risk window. The case for adding permanent vertical deflection and permanent carriageway narrowing on top of it has not been made.

I do not support the widespread use of speed humps and raised platforms. They impose a whole-of-day penalty, are uncomfortable and in some cases painful for older drivers and passengers, slow emergency and service vehicles, add ongoing maintenance liability, and displace speeding to adjacent streets. Kāpiti has an older-than-average driving population. Narrower carriageways and tighter intersection geometry make the network harder for them, not safer.

I do not support widening footpaths and kerbs into the carriageway where the existing footpath is already generously wide. Where more pedestrian space is genuinely needed, take it from the reserve or the existing path, not from the road.

What I do support

Lighting upgrades — low cost, no penalty to any road user, and directly effective on night-time risk. New footpaths closing genuine gaps in the walking network. Kerb ramps for accessibility. Pedestrian refuge islands on genuinely wide arterial corridors, where a central island can be installed without narrowing traffic lanes; the wait-time argument at Table 9 is sound. Formalising posted limits on the new Peka Peka to Ōtaki Expressway side roads, which is legal housekeeping at negligible cost.

Taken as a whole, however, the programme reads as an accumulation of physical devices rather than a network designed for the efficient movement of both vehicles and pedestrians. I ask Council to fund the low-cost, high-value elements first — lighting, footpath gaps, refuges on wide corridors — publish the cost of each remaining item, publish the underlying survey data, and bring the vertical deflection and carriageway narrowing proposals back for separate consideration on their individual merits.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 55-59

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Waikanae

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

RC11 - GWRC/Metlink support Moana Street/ Tasman Road intersection improvements – this is a bus route for route 290 – bus turns right onto Tasman Road. Please ensure bus tracking is complete on any concept designs for improvements to ensure bus clearance.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

On behalf of an organisation

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Greater Wellington Regional Council

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

25-29

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

No

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text Lower Hutt

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

I use this road frequently as a cyclist as well as a car user and believe that the current speed limit is both, safe and appropriate. I am opposed to the proposed change because
1) a single accident in 5 years is no justification to reduce the speed limit, when it is not clear whether the speed at the time of the accident exceeded 60 km/hour or whether speed was even the cause of the accident
2) The current average travel speed of about 60km/hour is used as an argument to formalise a new speed limit of 60 km/hour. This is a non-sensical argument, as the current actual average speed of 60 km/hour very broadly speaking means that the number of road users travelling faster than 60km/hour is equal to the number of road users travelling at a slower speed.

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 75-79

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Consider the cost to ratepayers of this continual change with minimal justification

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

65-69

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I'm feeling really positive about the proposed plans, and putting the wellbeing of our people first. Thank you.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

45-49

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice

Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

The school parking was created when the school had half the number of students it now has and as the school is rural we have a large number of parents who drop off and pick up. Parking is becoming a continual cause of conflict for parents and our neighbours as even when school management is out reminding parents they park on neighbours berms and on a number of occasions get cars stuck. Staff park across the road to give as much space as possible to the parents - parents come into school with photos of bad parking by other parents. Parking is at a premium.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

On behalf of an organisation

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Te Horo School

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

No, I disagree

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Stating an average speed over a section of road with a 90 degree corner at one end, and a intersection at the other is just backing up an opinion with stats that are massaged to agree with a desired goal. So in essence lies camouflaged as fact to fool people into agreeing with an agenda.
I travel this road daily, and the speed 90+ percent of vehicles achieve over this section is 80km/h (or averaging 58km/h over the length of it).
Nobody has left the road and crashed into a ditch, and safer for cyclists is a weird concept seeing as how there is a dedicated cycle and walking lane right along that section of the road.

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

I'd like to see fact based reasoning for any speed reductions. Preferably backed up with official statistics that can be independantly verified and made available to the public for scrutiny.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box 50-54

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text

Q29 Do you agree with proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School?

Multi Choice

Yes, I agree

Q30 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SC14 Te Horo School.

Long Text

Q31 Do you agree with proposed change for SL5 Gear Road?

Multi Choice

Q32 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL5 Gear Road.

Long Text

Q33 Do you agree with proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way?

Multi Choice

Q34 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL6 Jim Winiata Way.

Long Text

Q35 Do you agree with proposed change for SL8 School Road?

Multi Choice

Q36 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL8 School Road.

Long Text

Q37 Do you agree with proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA?

Multi Choice

Q38 Please explain why you disagree with this proposed change for SL13 Paraparaumu/Raumati UTA.

Long Text

Q80 Is there anything else you'd like us to consider for future Speed Management Plans?

Long Text

Moving the wheel stops to allow for better pedestrian movement by the school is a great idea, but this is only one of 3/4 locations along the School Road footpath where these are required. Cars regularly park in front of the church, Te Horo Hall and the fire station across the footpath, requiring pedestrians to walk out in the carriageway. I would like to see wheel stops installed in these locations as well to improve safety for pedestrians and avoid the need to walk in the road carriageway. I know the new hall car park is being built now but this will only be used when the parks in front of the hall are full, and does not address the issue in front of the church and the fire station.

Q81 Are you providing feedback as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Multi Choice

As an individual

Q82 Name of organisation

Short Text

Q83 Age Group

Select Box

45-49

Q84 Do you live in or own a property in Kāpiti

Multi Choice Yes

Q85 If yes, which suburb?

Select Box Te Horo

Q86 Where do you live?

Short Text