

Proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw

Submissions

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-40

Response ID 5676882

Date of contribution Apr 29 24 01:29:45 pm

Personal information

First name Andrew

Last name Galloway

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here

Alcohol Healthwatch

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

See attached letter of submission.



Submission on the Kapiti Coast District Council Proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw 2024

23 April 2024

Tēnā koutou

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw 2024.

We would like the opportunity to speak (virtually) to our submission and our organisation's name can be published when reporting feedback.

If you have any questions on the comments we have included in our submission, please contact:

Andrew Galloway
Executive Director
Alcohol Healthwatch
P.O. Box 99407, Newmarket, Auckland 1149
M: 021 244 7610
E: director@ahw.org.nz

About Alcohol Healthwatch

Alcohol Healthwatch is an independent national charity working to reduce alcohol-related harm and inequities. We are contracted by Health New Zealand–Te Whatu Ora to provide a range of regional and national health promotion services. These include: providing evidence-based information and advice on policy and planning matters; coordinating networks and projects to address alcohol-related harms, such as alcohol-related injury and fetal alcohol spectrum disorder; and coordinating or otherwise supporting community action projects.

Specific Comments

1. Alcohol Healthwatch supports the Kapiti Coast District Council's development of a specific bylaw on alcohol licensing fees. By making a bylaw under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fee-setting Bylaws) Order 2013¹ the Council may set fees that reflect the

¹ Authorised by section 405 of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Council's actual costs as a licensing authority, and in respect of its inspection and enforcement functions (excluding manager's certificates and temporary authorities).

2. We support a full cost recovery approach for alcohol licensing, as while full cost recovery was envisaged by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012, the fees set under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees) Regulations 2013 have not kept pace with the costs incurred by the Council. As noted in the consultation documents, if the default fees were applied, this would inevitably mean that the Council (and ratepayers) would be subsidising the costs associated with alcohol licensing. We note that it is proposed that cost-recovery in the Kapiti Coast district will be set at approximately 89/90 percent within 5 years.
3. We support a consistent approach to licensing fees, and are pleased to note that other councils in the Wellington Region (Wellington City, Hutt City, Porirua) have also utilised their bylaw-making powers to allocate realistic costs for these activities.
4. We also encourage councils across New Zealand to conduct a comprehensive review of all the costs incurred with licensing, which includes costs associated with administration, monitoring and enforcement to ensure that these are met by the sector. We believe that revising fees in a timely manner would meet the policy objectives of the licensing fees regime, namely:
 - (a) To recover the total reasonable costs incurred by the Council in administering the alcohol licensing system
 - (b) To ensure that those who create the greatest need for regulatory effort bear the commensurate costs
 - (c) To allow local circumstances to be reflected in the fees paid by operators and income received by the Council
 - (d) To minimise alcohol-related harm, to the extent that this can be achieved through a cost recovery regime.

Additional comments

5. The alcohol licensing regime and fee-setting is part of a package of measures which, when used comprehensively, can create safer environments and significantly minimise rates of hazardous drinking and subsequently alcohol-related harm. We recommend that other measures, primarily a Local Alcohol Policy, be progressed alongside this bylaw and the Control of Alcohol in Public Places Bylaw and similar policies.
6. We further note that under the section 404 of the 2012 Act, the Ministry of Justice is required to undertake a five-year review of alcohol licensing fees and of cost recovery by councils. However, this review is overdue, and we would encourage the Council to

advocate to Central Government for a timely review of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees) Regulations 2013.

7. In a similar vein, we note that remuneration of District Licensing Committee members is also generally determined by the Ministry of Justice under the Cabinet Fees Framework (CO(22)2). We understand that the current fee for members is set at \$51 per hour or \$78 per hour for the DLC chairperson. We consider that these rates should be reviewed to ensure that a greater pool of applicants may be attracted to undertake this work if remunerated adequately.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-36

Response ID 5668390

Date of contribution Apr 24 24 07:57:58 am

Personal information

First name Mandy

Last name Savage

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here Cancer Society of NZ Wellington Division

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ No

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I do not believe that as taxpayers we should be funding harmful commodities. Here at the Cancer Society We want people in Kāpiti to be part of safe, active and healthy communities. However, we know that in this community alcohol is a problem. Alcohol is a Class 1 carcinogen and is causally linked to mouth, throat, voice box, esophagus, bowel, liver and breast cancers. Drinking even low amounts of alcohol regularly increases your risk. Additionally, alcohol use increases the risk of over 200 physical and mental health conditions, and causes many injuries.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-41

Response ID 5676896

Date of contribution Apr 29 24 01:33:59 pm

Personal information

First name Shane

Last name Phillips

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here

Hospitality New Zealand

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

See attached letter of submission



24th April 2024

Alcohol Fees Bylaw
Kapiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5024

Via email: haveyoursay@kapiticoast.govt.nz

RE: Alcohol Fees Bylaw

Tenā koe,

Hospitality New Zealand ("Hospitality NZ") is a not-for-profit organisation representing approximately 2,500 businesses, including cafés, restaurants, bars, nightclubs, commercial accommodation, country hotels and off-licences. We champion hospitality, serving our members and communities, and seek to see hospitality recognised and celebrated for its contribution to Aotearoa, attracting fresh talent and generating sustainable returns for businesses and communities. We have a 122-year history of advocating on behalf of the hospitality and tourism sector.

We are writing to you on the proposed Alcohol Fees Bylaw.

We strongly oppose the proposed increases to licensing fees.

We recognise that Council is facing cost pressures – this is true for every organisation across the motu. However, we struggle to understand how Council justifies proposing a 60% increase in licensing fees under the guise of 'cost recovery'. In a hospitality context, this equates to increasing the cost of the average pint of beer from \$12 to \$19.20 such an increase is something we could ever justify to our customers.

Firstly, KCDC the proposed fee increase for medium to very high Businesses for Year 1 is 60% out of step with inflation.

Secondly, we note that Council can cover costs incurred through other means – finding efficiencies in their own services. Our members do not have confidence that Council has made every effort to consider these efficiencies before proposing an astronomical increase.

Thirdly, we seek further rationale as to why Council considers it appropriate that licence holders cover 100% of alcohol licensing costs. While it could be justified that licence holders cover a larger proportion of the fees than they do at present, proposing to 'remove all of the costs for ratepayers' ignores that our businesses are ratepayers too. They contribute to the rate take of KCDC at a differential of 3.1 vis a vi the residential ratepayer – we therefore deem it appropriate that at least some of the licensing fees can be covered by general rates.



Finally, these proposals are up against a backdrop of struggling businesses. Alcohol licensing specifically impacts hospitality venues and clubs, and these businesses are facing an increasingly challenging operating environment. Such an increase would only inflict further financial strain on the industry and employment of our local community.

We are comfortable with the introduction of a late lodgement fee, as it incentivises and encourages best practice for applicants.

I would welcome the opportunity to present my submission in person.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any further questions.

Ngā mihi nui,

Shane Phillips
Regional Manager
Hospitality New Zealand

M 021 192 3941

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-4

Response ID 5614383

Date of contribution Apr 03 24 10:49:26 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here Kapiti Boating Club

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

i agree with the proposed changes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-37

Response ID 5672773

Date of contribution Apr 26 24 10:25:13 am

Personal information

First name Richard

Last name Bywater

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here Kapiti Playhouse Incorporated

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

While overall supportive of where possible costs of services should reflect the time taken, I do have concerns about the impact of the change.

Kapiti Playhouse has been in operation in the region since 1948 and over the years have put on many theatrical shows for the enjoyment of the Kapiti public. To provide a more all-round theatre experience over the years we have offered the ability for patrons to have a beer or wine whilst watching the

performance. Prior to the changes to the Sales and Supply of Alcohol Act changes in 2012 we have allowed patrons to BYO, and since the changes have provided beer & wine for sale utilising a Special Licence. As a non-profit charitable organisation, our main funding comes from modest membership fees, ticket sales, and a small amount of profit made from items sold across the bar.

The current fee structure that is in place allows Kapiti Playhouse to obtain Special Licences for our performances as required and, when added to the cost of the supplies, allows for a small profit to be made. The concern is that with the proposed changes to the fee structure, this small profit will effectively disappear and we will lose a small part of our income stream. For reference we usually have one Class 1 licence to cover off our year's worth of performances and then we usually obtain two or three Class 3 licences during the year to cover any concerts, comedy nights, or other performances that take place at Kapiti Playhouse. Based on this average year, we would currently pay \$701.50. Under the new fee structure, after five years, this would now balloon out to \$1466 which is a dramatic increase for a small organisation such as ours.

A point could be made that we should perhaps be using an On-Licence instead but, unfortunately, the volatility of the membership and the increased compliance costs are a barrier to doing so as a non-profit / charitable organisation.

Should the Council proceed with the fee increases, as part of helping charitable organisations such as ours, I would like the Council to look to implement the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees) Regulations 2013 s10 (2) clause to allow for the fees to be charged at a level 1 Class down for when the applicant is a non-profit/charitable organisation and especially where the applicant is a regular applicant for Special Licences and details of the application have remained largely unchanged for a period of years as I would imagine, in this case, there would be a lower workload on staff to prepare reports.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-46

Response ID 5607459

Date of contribution Mar 28 24 06:30:37 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here New Shoreline Cinema Ltd

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I am against the increase in License fee. As a small business owner it is yet another increase that we are having to absorb without any increase in service or value to the business. The Licensing of Bar managers has been made more difficult in recent years compounded by the slow response and approval timings and arbitrary decisions regarding maturity and suitability of applicants. In order attract or retain staff, premises owners are now having to cover the training and application costs of Bar Managers, so we are already paying a high percentage of the License fees which is far greater than what your charts recognise. I also

believe that by your own definitions, my premises Licence should be judged as Very Low Risk.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-25

Response ID 5633964

Date of contribution Apr 12 24 02:22:26 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here Otaki & District Memorial RSA

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Ōtaki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ Unsure

Would you like to provide feedback on why you're unsure about the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

This would put an extra cost on smaller groups.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-42

Response ID 5676936

Date of contribution Apr 29 24 01:53:20 pm

Personal information

First name Nicola

Last name Waldren

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here

Restaurant Association

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

See attached letter of submission

SUBMISSION

Proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw

April 2024

Restaurant Association of New Zealand submission to the Kāpiti Coast District Council

(09) 638 8403

info@restaurantnz.co.nz

Restaurant Association of New Zealand

45 Normanby Rd, Mt Eden

Auckland 1024

Executive Summary

The Restaurant Association supports the rationale used to determine the weighted fee increases in the Kāpiti Coast District Council's proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw, and commends the Council on taking a risk-based approach to setting alcohol licensing fees.

Despite this, we submit that the risk weighting used to determine a premises' cost/risk rating does not reflect that rationale. Currently, the types of premises with an on-licence are weighted against other on-licence venues. The Restaurant Association believes that risk weightings should instead be set against the relative level of risk across all licence types. The Restaurant Association recognises that the setting of cost/risk ratings is not within the control of the Kāpiti Coast District Council, and as such makes the following recommendations:

- Recommendation 1: That the council should take a more gradual approach to fee increases, spreading the cumulative increase over the full five years instead of such a large increase as proposed in year one.
- Recommendation 2: That the Kāpiti Coast District Council advocates to the Ministry of Justice for a review of the cost/risk ratings for different types of premises set by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees Regulations) to better reflect the risks of on-licence premises as compared to off-licence and club licence premises.

Introduction

1. The Restaurant Association of New Zealand (the Restaurant Association) welcomes the opportunity to make a submission on the Kāpiti Coast District Council's proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw.
2. We support the rationale used to determine the weighted fee increases in the proposed Bylaw, and commend the Council on taking a risk-based approach to setting alcohol licensing fees.
3. Our more than 2,500-strong membership is made up of hospitality businesses where food is the hero of their operations, with alcoholic beverages offered as a supplement to their culinary experience. It is clear that sale of alcohol alongside a meal carries far less risk than businesses where the sale of alcohol is their core offering.
4. We recognise the need to ensure the sale, supply and consumption of alcohol is undertaken safely and responsibly, but believe that the greatest risk to this goal is the off-licence sale of alcohol.

Fee increases proportionate to risk of harm

5. The Restaurant Association supports the premise that the greatest fee increases should apply to the higher risk categories of the premises, which allows for a lower increase for smaller operators and/or operators in low-risk environments.

6. Whilst we recognise the need to increase licence application fees, annual licensing fees, and special licence application fees to better recover the cost associated with administering both new and existing licences, it is imperative these increases reflect the actual levels of harm caused.
7. The proposal that the fee increases would mainly affect medium to high-risk premises like pubs and chain stores or supermarkets, not small daytime cafes, or intimate high-end restaurants is essential to ensuring the actual levels of harm caused is reflected in the fee increases.
8. This is especially important for small business owners across our sector who have been overloaded with the responsibilities of adapting their businesses to abide by new and changing regulations, when their focus should be on their recovery from almost three years of hampered trading to ensure their business is rebuilt in a more resilient and sustainable way.
9. It is important to recognise, however, that the food and beverage sector of the hospitality industry operates on a very tight profit margin of approximately 4%.
10. Expenditure on hospitality is also highly dependent on local and tourism spending, with both of these spends being highly unstable. Local spend is highly discretionary, meaning it is the first to be cut from household budgets in times of economic downturn.
11. Given the unprecedented levels of disruption to our industry over the past four years—from the global pandemic and its flow-on effects, to the repeated extreme weather events and the cost of living crisis, we recommend that the council should take a more gradual approach to fee increases, spreading the cumulative increase over the full five years instead of such a large increase as proposed in year one. Our proposed alternative models for application fees and annual licensing fees are at appendix 3 and 4.

Recommendation 1: That the council should take a more gradual approach to fee increases, spreading the cumulative increase over the full five years instead of such a large increase as proposed in year one.

Review of current risk ranking

12. While the Restaurant Association recognises that the proposed fee structure must be set in accordance with the framework set out by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012, the Local Government Act 2002 and any regulations, we believe there should be a review of the current risk ranking.
13. In a practical sense, there are far fewer restrictions and regulations for off-licence holders in terms of the responsible sale and supply of alcohol when compared to on-licence holders.

14. For example, when serving alcohol in an on-licence venue, staff are bound by host responsibility requirements and must monitor intake to determine when they must stop service to prevent intoxication.
15. Alternatively at an off-licence venue, customers can purchase as much alcohol as they want, to take home and then consume as much as they want without any restriction. There are minimal requirements for an off-licence premises to ensure they are selling and supplying alcohol in line with the objectives of the Act, and this should be better reflected in the licensing fees framework.
16. We submit that:
 - 16.1. An on-licence Class 1 restaurant carries an equivalent risk of harm to an off-licence hotel or tavern.
 - 16.2. An on-licence Class 2 restaurant carries an equivalent risk of harm to an off-licence Class 1, 2 or 3 club, remote sale premises, other, and
 - 16.3. An on-licence Class 3 restaurant carries an equivalent risk of harm to an on-licence BYO restaurant, theatres, cinemas, winery cellar doors.
17. We therefore believe that a more fulsome review of the cost/risk rating of premises within the regulations to better reflect the actual risk of harm. The Restaurant Association's proposed cost/risk rating table is available at appendix 2.
18. We recognise that the setting of cost/risk ratings is not within the control of the Kāpiti Coast District Council, and therefore recommend that the Kāpiti Coast District Council advocates to the Ministry of Justice for a review of the cost/risk ratings for different types of premises set by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees Regulations) to better reflect the risks of on-licence premises as compared to off-licence and club licence premises.

Recommendation 2: That the Kāpiti Coast District Council advocates to the Ministry of Justice for a review of the cost/risk ratings for different types of premises set by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees Regulations) to better reflect the risks of on-licence premises as compared to off-licence and club licence premises.

About the Association

19. The mission of the Restaurant Association of New Zealand is to be the link between good food and good business so that our Member's restaurant or café can succeed. We're passionate about our vibrant industry, which is full of interesting, talented and entrepreneurial people.
20. Since 1972, the Association has worked to offer advice, help and assistance in every facet of the vibrant and diverse hospitality industry. We are the representative body for more than 2,500 hospitality businesses, with Members covering the length and breadth of the country.

Appendices

Appendix 1: current cost/risk rating table

Licence type	Type of premises	Weighting
On-licence	Class 1 restaurant, night club, tavern, adult premises	15
	Class 2 restaurant, hotel, function centre	10
	Class 3 restaurant, other	5
	BYO restaurant, theatres, cinemas, winery cellar doors	2
Off-licence	Supermarket, grocery store, bottle store	15
	Hotel, tavern	10
	Class 1, 2 or 3 club, remote sale premises, other	5
	Winery cellar doors	2
Club licence	Class 1 club	10
	Class 2 club	5
	Class 3 club	2

Appendix 2: proposed cost/risk rating table

Licence type	Type of premises	Weighting			
		15	10	5	2
On-licence	Night club, tavern, adult premises	x			
	Class 1 restaurant		x		
	Class 2 restaurant, hotel, function centre			x	
	Class 3 restaurant (other), BYO restaurant, theatres, cinemas, winery cellar doors				x
Off-licence	Supermarket, grocery store, bottle store	x			
	Hotel, tavern		x		
	Class 1, 2 or 3 club, remote sale premises, other			x	
	Winery cellar doors				x
Club licence	Class 1 club		x		
	Class 2 club			x	
	Class 3 club				x

Appendix 3: proposed alternative staged fee increases for application fees

Current fee		Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5			
		\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	Total incr.
Very low	368.00	27.00	7.3	395.00	28.00	7.1	423.00	29.00	6.9	452.00	29.00	6.4	481.00	29.00	6	510.00	142.00
Low	609.50	45.00	7.4	654.50	46.00	7	700.50	47.00	6.7	747.50	48.00	6.4	795.50	49.00	6.2	845.00	235.50
Medium	816.50	150.50	18.4	967.00	160.00	16.6	1,127.00	170.00	15	1,297.00	180.00	13.9	1,477.00	190.00	12.9	1,667.00	850.50
High	1,023.50	211.00	20.6	1,234.50	212.00	17.2	1,446.50	213.00	17.7	1,659.50	214.00	12.9	1,837.50	216.00	11.5	2,089.50	1,066.00
Very high	1,207.50	250.00	20.7	1,457.50	252.00	17.3	1,709.50	252.00	14.7	1,961.50	252.00	12.9	2,213.50	252.00	11.4	2,465.50	1,258.00

Appendix 4: proposed alternative staged fee increases for annual licensing fees

Current fee		Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5			
		\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	\$ incr.	% incr.	New fee	Total incr.
Very low	161.00	14.00	8.7	175.00	14.00	8	189.00	14.00	7.4	203.00	15.00	7.4	218.00	16.00	7.3	234.00	73.00
Low	391.00	35.00	9	426.00	35.00	8.2	461.00	35.00	7.6	496.00	36.00	7.3	532.00	37.00	7	569.00	178.00
Medium	632.00	118.00	18.7	750.00	118.00	15.7	868.00	118.00	13.6	986.00	118.00	12	1,104.00	119.50	10.8	1,223.50	591.50
High	1,035.00	193.00	18.7	1,228.00	193.00	15.7	1,421.00	194.00	13.7	1,615.00	194.00	12	1,809.00	195.00	10.8	2,004.00	969.00
Very high	1,437.50	267.00	18.6	1,704.50	268.00	15.7	1,972.50	270.00	13.7	2,242.50	270.00	12	2,512.50	270.50	10.8	2,783.00	1,345.50

Note: the highlighted figures in appendices 3 and 4 do not reflect the figures provided in the proposal document, which appear to be calculation errors.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-35

Response ID 5668368

Date of contribution Apr 24 24 07:43:59 am

Personal information

First name Paul

Last name Pretty

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here

Ruth Pretty Catering Limited

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in

Ōtaki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

We think that 12 months is too short a time for the fees that are payable.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-1

Response ID 5611607

Date of contribution Apr 02 24 07:35:02 am

Personal information

First name Chris

Last name Barber

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here The Bond Store

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

We don't feel this is the right year to be increasing fees. Producers like us, as well as the Hospitality sector in general, are under real pressure at the moment, which is shown by the large number of liquidations in our sector. After COVID, we had massive shipping cost increases, issues and extra costs with CO2, huge increases in Excise and more likely this year, and a recession. We really don't need this to increase now too. Please wait until market conditions are better

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-48

Response ID 5678009

Date of contribution Apr 30 24 08:59:06 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here The Telegraph Hotel

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ Yes

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Ōtaki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

See submitted documents.

Hello,

My name is Duane Watt and I own the Telegraph hotel, Otaki.

This submission is about the increase to licensing fees by the KCDC. I am not objecting to the increase, I am about the targeting of costs and a streamlining of the process.

I have received information from a LGOIMA request. Information I asked for was to try and see where the money is being spent, and are there good reasons for that.

My first question was for license processing times before covid 2017-19 and after covid 2021-23. Please find the results attached with this submission. The figures show that the quickest processing in 2017 with a 41 day average, is half the slowest processing in 2022 at 85 days.

All applications with a processing time over 150 days were excluded. These totalled 18 applications. 12 of these applications were in the 2021-23 period, inclusion of these times would increase the average considerably. That is there are twice as many processing times over 150 days in the 2021-23 period, as the 2017-19 period.

My next question was were there more or less enforcement actions now, compared to the last ten years. There are no more actions in the 2017-19 period, compared to the 2021-23 period. That is there has been no increase in problem venues.

This means that the increase in processing time has come from increased scrutiny from Council, Health and Police.

The question that comes to me from this is why would scrutiny increase when there is no problem to fix. I have been a publican across both of these periods, and I have experienced the change. Please find attached a Police letter regarding my food offerings.

I have previously requested another LGOIMA after a meeting with the KCDC, Police and Health at the end of January 2023. Julie Blythe from Health stated in the meeting that Otaki had the highest alcohol harm in the country. This is not my experience as a publican in Otaki. I requested the information that this was based on, but mostly got my own emails back. I put this forward as an example of where this extra scrutiny might come from. I will include the email chain around this interaction.

When dealing with an application, Police and Health have 3 weeks to report, that is 15 working days. In 2022, why is the council spending another 70 days on average processing applications. There are 8 more applications that involve 2022 that took longer than 150 days.

The question that comes from this is, are Police and Health dealing with the council after the 3 week reporting period. If this is happening then price increase could be shared amongst all 4 parties, especially the agencies involved in the delay.

My experience with the council system is that Councillors cannot deal directly with council staff. This is because they can be too demanding, they must put all requests through Democracy services.

Perhaps outside of the 15 day reporting period, Health and Police could also interact through Democracy services. This would decrease pressure on Council staff.

Katherine McLellan indicated to me recently that KCDC were looking to hire another staff member. I am sure that efficiency gains through streamlining the application process would be large.

I have shown that processing times have doubled, which I am attributing to increased scrutiny. There are no incidences at licensed venues to give a reason for the increased scrutiny.

I have experienced the strain on council staff with a nonsensical email on a Sunday morning. That pressure is not coming from the venues, which is why I suggest Democracy services could be a good idea. Together with a strict adherence to the 15 day reporting window would speed up the licensing process, and the extra person would not be needed. If more than 15 days is needed costs could be apportioned accordingly.

I am pleased to be able to submit towards this decision, and I believe I have shown good evidence of where the money is going.

I have put forward ideas to ensure swift processing, that will also increase goodwill amongst the 4 parties involved. I will be much more positive about the future if the agencies work within the time periods allocated by council.

Thank you,

Duane Watt. Telegraph Hotel.



Date: 04/01/2023

The Secretary
District Licensing Committee
Kapiti

RE: Ref Number: 45/ON/672/2021
APPLICANT'S NAME: Duane Watt on behalf of Octopus Inc Limited
TRADING AS: The Tele
SITUATED AT: 284 Rangiuru Road, Otaki

The above-named applicant has applied for the renewal of an existing On-licence.

Police are not satisfied that this application meets the requirements for such a licence to be issued.

The grounds for the opposition are:

Grounds for Objection.

The criteria the committee must have regard to when considering an application for a renewal of a license are contained in section 131 of the Act.

Police oppose this application under the following subsections:

The object of the Act

The object of the Act is safe and responsible sale, supply, and consumption of alcohol and the minimisation of harm caused by its excessive or inappropriate use.

Police submit that for the object of the Act to be achieved the committee must have confidence in the application. There are significant weaknesses in the application, in particular around the food to be available, low alcohol options and appropriate systems, staff, and training to comply with the law. These weaknesses raise particular concerns.

The Authority commented in *Two Brothers Wholesale Ltd [2021] NZARLA 32* at [99]
As we said in Patel's Superette 2000 Limited v Muir, while there is no onus of proof on an applicant, it is for an applicant to put its best foot forward if it expects a DLC to favour the application over significant opposition which is itself supported by evidence.

The applicant's suitability

Police acknowledge the applicant is experienced. His last renewal was opposed by all the tri-agencies on similar grounds to this opposition. For an experienced operator in this industry police do have serious concerns given that recent compliance checks indicate the applicant appears to be doing the bare minimum to meet the object of the act or comply with his last renewal conditions/directions.

Police also have concerns around the management of the premises following those compliance checks.

These points will also be covered in the below subsections.

The applicant's systems, staff and training

The applicant is required to have the appropriate systems, staff, and training in place to comply with the law. All staff should have regular training and a record of this should be maintained as evidence. This helps to demonstrate that the establishment is being well-run, and that staff understand their legal obligations.

Mr Watt's application is lacking in detail regarding when training is given, how and who will conduct the training. What reference material is used and/or available and how training is evaluated. Section 18 of the submitted application deals with systems including training and simply states, "All staff are duty managers".

Stated in the decision by the DLC at the last renewal is the wording "*The applicant will need to provide written documentation of staff training on the HPA On licence toolkit over the next year prior to any licence renewal*".

Regarding staff training Mr Watt advised he sends staff on a one-day course at Industry Training Solutions in Palmerston North. It is the understanding of Police that this is the Licenced Controller Qualification (LCQ) course. To obtain a duty managers certificate this is a mandated requirement and in the view of Police does not qualify as ongoing training.

When asked by the district licensing inspector via email on November 17th 2022, for training records Mr Watt was not able to present any training plan or records of ongoing training for staff. He presented only a document showing Sharon Andrews had attended an LCQ course. This was likely in the process of her obtaining her duty manager's certificate.

Training is not a 'tick box', unimportant exercise. This is a high-risk industry and training links back directly to the object of the act and the lack of training casts doubt over suitability.

In regard to staffing, Police raise real concern that the minimal working staff is inadequate to comply with employment law as specified within the act. Rosters shown to Police upon compliance visits show only one staff member working for periods of 4 to 5 hours. This does not allow for legally required breaks or cover should that staff member be required to perform other tasks.

The roster viewed at that time shows only 2 staff per day apart from Sunday when only one staff member showed as working.

Two Brothers Wholesale Ltd [2021] NZARLA 32 at [135]

Bad employment practices "go directly to the operation of the premises even if there is no direct evidence of alcohol related harm."

Any matters dealt with in any report from the Police, an inspector, or a Medical Officer of Health made by virtue of section 129

Food

At his last renewal the application stated:

"A range of substantial food, consisting of at least five of the following items, must be available on the premises at all times the premises are open for business: toasties, pork pie, lasagne, rice cakes, peri peri tuna, beef jerky, chorizos. (The menu agreed by the agencies is attached for reference)."

"Menus must be visible."

"Food needs to be actively promoted."

During a compliance visit on 11th November, 2022 it was observed that only 4 pork pies were available and 7 very minimal toasted sandwiches with white bread. These were all stored in the freezer and did

not look appealing. The remainder of items were those the tri-agencies argued were snack foods at the last renewal and were also in small quantities.

During a compliance visit on 12th December 2022, there were similar low levels of required substantial food, being four toasted sandwiches and one pork pie. The other items such as jerky and steak bars etc were still sitting on the small shelf behind the bar near the entrance.

It does not appear as though food is being actively promoted with only two small A4 size signs advertising the food being visible. There were no pictures promoting the minimal toasted sandwiches or pies on the menu however the “snack items” as argued by the tri-agencies at the last renewal were on the shelf behind the bar in view.

In speaking with the working duty manager it appears only a very minimal amount of food is actually sold and is not actively promoted. This statement is backed up by the very small amount of stock held on site which could easily sell out in one group purchase.

It is the view of the police that not much has changed in regard to “section 53 food to be available” since the last renewal. The applicant has two of the three new food items the DLC specified in his licence conditions, however he holds barely enough to feed more than half a dozen people and would quickly sell out if a small number of purchases occurred.

The food is not appealing, is not actively promoted and Police believe Mr Watt is doing the bare minimum he can in order to comply with his conditions.

Providing food that is bulky and filling is important to slow down the absorption of alcohol into the bloodstream. Police believe a clearer condition is needed to ensure three types of appealing substantial food are available and that it is promoted and appealing to a range of potential patrons.

Low-alcohol drinks to be available

Section 52 of the act specifies that the holder of an on-licence or club licence must ensure that there is available for sale and consumption on the premises alcohol containing not more than 2.5% ethanol by volume at 20°C.

Police acknowledge that Mr Watt has shown that he has low alcohol beer available for sale. However police raise concern that in his renewal application Mr Watt has detailed that he sells “wine and juice” and a “single nip in a tall glass” as low alcohol options. Police question this practise given it is not a certified low alcohol option and is open to being a higher than 2.5% volume depending on the server. This practise has obvious potential risks and is problematic.

Summary

For an experienced Licensee/Manager, the application is once again not up to standard, and it is lacking in detail in key areas and subsequently raises concerns.

There are concerns that the food to be available condition is being loosely met and is certainly not in keeping with how the act intends it. There are concerns around the low alcohol options available.

Police do not believe a training policy exists in regard to on going training and Mr Watt is breaching the direction given by the DLC in his last renewal on this matter. Mr Watt seems to be of the opinion that his “set and forget” method of training terminates when a person gains their duty managers certificate. This is not what the act intended. It would not be difficult or costly to put staff through a annual refresher course online such as the free servewise course and record this.

In regard to staffing there appears to be serious failings here. Police acknowledge that finding staff is difficult in the current environment however this is not an excuse not to comply with the act. The rosters police have seen show one staff member working for up to 4 or 5 hours with no cover. Staff breaks are a legal requirement. Inadequate staffing levels and systems to comply with the law point to poor management and therefore the suitability of the applicant to manage a licensed premise.

Police do acknowledge that calls for service to The Tele are minimal however feel that the matters outlined above are serious and Mr Watt appears unwilling to resolve these issues.

Police seek a clearer condition regarding section 53 “food to be available” with another mandated substantial option and the removal of the snacks from the substantial menu. Police also seek an undertaking food will be better promoted.

Police have concerns in regard to the low alcohol options on offer.

Police raise concerns that despite the direction from the DLC at the last renewal nothing has changed in regard to ongoing training, training plans and the documentation of both. The applicant is required to provide written documentation of staff training prior to this renewal and has failed to do so to the level required.

Police also raise concerns that adequate staffing levels are a concern and therefore employment law is not being adhered to.

The last renewal was granted for a one year period to allow the applicant to demonstrate he could improve the areas of concern at that time and follow the direction given. Police believe the applicant has failed to do this across the board and therefore is not suitable to hold a license.

This application is opposed.

Yours sincerely

L Moretto

Constable Lance Moretto
Alcohol Harm Prevention Office
Levin Police
PO Box 242
Levin
Lance.Moretto@police.govt.nz

OIR: 2324/874

22 April 2024

Duane Watt
duane@thetele.co.nz

Tēnā koe Duane,

Request for Information under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (the Act) (the LGOIMA)

Thank you for your email of **5 April 2024** requesting the following information:

- 1. Could I please have license processing times for the years 2017-19 and the years 2021-23, but only for medium and high-risk venues at the KCDC.***

The following table shows the average processing time by application year for new and renewed licence applications for Medium and High-risk premises.

In this case, the “days” are total weekdays (Monday to Friday). Public holidays and the non-reporting period between 20 December and 15 January each year have not been deleted from the total days.

Application Year	Average Days to process
2017	41
2018	51
2019	60
2020	79
2021	57
2022	85
2023	58
Overall average	62

Please note: The 18 applications with processing days of 150 or greater have been removed from the averaging data in the table above. But an explanation of the reason for the longer processing times for these applications is provided in the spreadsheet attached. The applications are listed by application year.

Please note that any information provided in response to your request may be published on the Council website, with your personal details removed.

2. Are there any more or less enforcement actions now compared to the last 10 years. This is for venues and duty managers please. That is how many actions were taken in each of the last ten years.

Council officers are not always notified of enforcement actions taken by the Police in relation to holders of Managers' Certificates. However, our records show the following enforcement actions since 2014:

Period	Venue	Enforcement Action
March 2015	Longbeach	48 hours 'On licence suspension' for failing a Controlled Purchasing Operation (CPO) on 16/12/14.
September 2015	Countdown Paraparaumu	3 days 'Off licence suspension' for failing a CPO on 3/12/14.
January 2016	Duty Manager	28 days certificate suspension for EBA conviction in 12/15.
June 2016	The Woodshed	48 hours 'On licence suspension' for failing a CPO on 29/1/16.

If you require any further information, please contact Richard Hopkins, Environmental Standards Manager on richard.hopkins@kapiticoast.govt.nz.

Ngā mihi,



James Jefferson
Group Manager Regulatory and Environment
Kaiwhakahaere Rōpū Ture me te Taiao

Premises	Status Details	Applied	Licence Issued	Days	Reason for processing time
Bottle store	Applied for renewal	03/02/2017	07/08/2018	393	With agreement of applicant, application put on hold pending outcome of appeal by Compass Liquor Limited to ARLA of KCDC's decision in relation to Liquorland. ARLA appeal withdrawn.
Supermarket	Applied for renewal	16/02/2017	18/05/2018	327	Hearing due to opposition from Medical Officer of Health
Supermarket	Applied for renewal	27/08/2018	25/03/2019	151	Inspector sought legal advice around remote sales delivery requirements
Restaurant	Applied for renewal	24/10/2019	14/07/2020	189	Applicant incorrectly advertised hours. Readvertisement was delayed due to Xmas close down period and Covid lockdown.
Bottle store	Applied for renewal	03/12/2019	09/09/2020	292	Covid lockdown
Bottle store	Applied	04/12/2019	16/02/2021	315	Hearing due to public objections
Tavern	Applied for renewal	27/03/2020	04/11/2020	159	Inspector clarifying correct licensee. Covid lockdown.
Tavern	Applied for renewal	09/06/2020	29/01/2021	169	Inspector clarifying correct licensee. Covid lockdown.
Grocery store	Applied for renewal	16/07/2020	30/03/2021	184	Sales data (to prove meet definition of a grocery store) provided was not from chartered accountant and more info needed.
Tavern	Applied for renewal	06/08/2021	04/04/2022	172	Hearing due to opposition from all agencies
Supermarket	Applied for renewal	09/08/2021	02/11/2022	323	Inspector sought legal advice around remote sales delivery requirements
Supermarket	Applied for renewal	09/08/2021	02/11/2022	323	Inspector sought legal advice around remote sales delivery requirements
Bottle store	Applied for renewal	23/09/2021			Application opposed by Police and Inspector. Put on hold pending District Court case. Licence not renewed.
Tavern	Applied for renewal	04/11/2021	19/06/2023	423	Applicant requiring resource consent for proposed variation of licence conditions.
Bottle store	Applied for renewal	27/01/2022	04/10/2022	179	Collaboration between applicant and agencies re proposed variation of licence conditions. Delay in receiving DLC decision.
Grocery store	Applied for renewal	29/04/2022	26/07/2023	324	Application put on hold pending refurbishment of the store, including the relocation of the single alcohol area, the licensee operated under a Temporary Licence during this period.
Bottle store	Applied for renewal	04/05/2022	01/09/2023	348	Application opposed by Police and Inspector
Tavern	Applied for renewal	08/11/2022			Hearing requested by DLC chair. Decision not yet issued.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-7

Response ID 5623550

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 11:20:28 am

Personal information

First name Brendon

Last name Nottage

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

on behalf of an organisation

Write organisation name here

WineCraft wine store Maclean St Paraparaumu Beach

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The proposed fee increases for liquor licenses will result in an almost 100% increase in fees once the full 5 year period is reached. This will have an impact on my business and I feel is unfairly targeted as it fails to recognise the unique boutique store I operate.

I am an independent operator with 1 part time staff member, I work long hours, days and weeks to keep the doors open. My license allows me to trade from 9am to 9pm but my normal opening hours has the store opening at 10am and the latest I close is 8pm on a Friday and Saturday. The other days are either 6pm or 7pm. Compare this to Woolworth at Coastlands which trades between 7am and 10pm and Pak n Save hours of 7am to 11pm!

My store is not only unique to Kapiti but the entire lower North Island yet I am in the same category as

Supermarkets and the chain bottle stores that operate longer hours and are simply alcohol sellers. These operators do nothing other than open the doors and sell as much as they can and usually based on the cheapest price they can sell it for, therefore doing very little to minimise alcohol harm. A stark contrast to the way I operate my store.

Not only do I offer a quality range, I hold regular educational events, I am hands on to offer expert advice and my customers will tell you my motto is "drink half as much but twice as good".

At my request, when first applying for the license, my license prohibits the sale of RTD mixers, this clearly shows my intent on being true to the term "boutique, yet there is no allowance in the new proposal to differentiate from the big operators. There NEEDS to be a category or sub category taking this into account.

The increased fees for supermarkets and the large chain operators will have very little negative financial impact on their business and if it forces smaller operators like mine to close or relocate it only drives more business to them.

My business presents a significantly lower alcohol harm risk and monitoring which would therefore mean less administrative costs for these reasons -

1. I am meticulous in my adherence to all liquor license and Sale and Supply of alcohol act conditions .
2. My product range does not appeal to the young and underage which means easily identifying potential purchases by minors.
3. My clients prefer quality over quantity.

For the business to fail any inspections or a CPO would be highly unlikely. Inspections by the licensing inspectors is a very simple and efficient process therefore not requiring significant expenditure to administer.

When assigning a licensed premises to a category, Winecraft is considered the same risk as a supermarket, this is like putting a featherweight boxer into the ring with a super heavyweight!

The proposed bylaw needs to consider Winecraft's uniqueness when setting the fees and categories, a better approach would be -

1. set fees based on turnover
2. set fees on square metre of alcohol retail space.
3. create a new category.
4. create a sub category
5. allow for a lower risk assessment
6. allow for an exemption or discount based on certain criteria.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-18

Response ID 5624516

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 05:44:16 pm

Personal information

First name Steven

Last name Edbrooke

I'm providing a submission (choose one):
☐ As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

☐ No

Please let us know what ward you live in
☐ Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?
☐ No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:
☐ Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

☐ Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Council should not be subsidising the alcohol industry to the extent it does currently, so this is a move in the right direction.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-19

Response ID 5624541

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 05:59:42 pm

Personal information

First name Indiana

Last name Gough

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I have little faith in the councils ability to reduce spending and not use this as a revenue gathering opportunity.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-22

Response ID 5628577

Date of contribution Apr 10 24 10:29:57 am

Personal information

First name Joe

Last name Green

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The sale and supply of alcohol is a profitable business. The costs of that business, including licensing costs should fall on the business. I am mindful that, as a taxpayer I already support the sale and supply of alcohol businesses in maintaining social order (policing) and the health system.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-5

Response ID 5614711

Date of contribution Apr 03 24 01:16:50 pm

Personal information

First name Robert

Last name Hawke

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paekākāriki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I agree with the council's proposal

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-34

Response ID 5664236

Date of contribution Apr 22 24 10:59:50 am

Personal information

First name Linda

Last name Hill

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Licensing to sell alcohol, together with monitoring and enforcement of the Sale of Liquor Act, should be fully funded by licensees themselves as a cost of business. I support the proposed fee change as far as it goes, but I disagree that ratepayers paying 10% of costs to regulate private businesses is 'only fair'. It is not. KCDC's role in harm minimisation and community consultation exists because central govt has devolved regulation of the sale of a harmful product down to local govt level. All costs of effective regulation should be borne by those granted the privilege of being allowed to sell alcohol. It's a profitable privilege, not a right.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-23

Response ID 5631468

Date of contribution Apr 11 24 02:27:53 pm

Personal information

First name Wynn

Last name Ingram

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in

Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

It is entirely inappropriate that this service is ratepayer subsidised. Licensing fees must be put on a full cost recovery basis as quickly as practicable

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-27

Response ID 5657368

Date of contribution Apr 18 24 08:57:13 am

Personal information

First name Terry

Last name Kennaway

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-17

Response ID 5624503

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 05:39:25 pm

Personal information

First name Michael

Last name Malone

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The Kapiti Coast already has too many liquor outlets, many of them in unsuitable areas where younger impressionable people are exposed to liquor advertising. The new bylaws will give KCC greater control over liquor licencing and hopefully make it more difficult for livcenvces to be obtained.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-6

Response ID 5618411

Date of contribution Apr 04 24 07:27:27 pm

Personal information

First name Spencer

Last name Naith

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in

Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Follow the "user pays" principle. Fees should be set at a level to fully cover KCDC's costs for administering the law. There is no reason that non-drinkers should subsidise liquor outlets and pubs.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-14

Response ID 5623979

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 02:32:38 pm

Personal information

First name Vicki

Last name Stoner

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

It seems fair

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-2

Response ID 5612703

Date of contribution Apr 02 24 03:31:03 pm

Personal information

First name Karen

Last name Vaughan

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paekākāriki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Yes, please pass the bylaw to pass on licensing fees on to those who selling alcohol. Alcohol causes huge social harm. Ratepayers should be not subsidising sale of alcohol. We already subsidise many of the services that deal with the fallout from alcohol. This is an area where industry could take responsibility. I understand that the majority of people enjoy alcohol. That doesn't change the fact of its social harm, nor that a growing number of people want opportunities to avoid alcohol. I would like industry to contribute more fairly to the true costs of their of business.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-26

Response ID 5650226

Date of contribution Apr 14 24 10:25:29 am

Personal information

First name Olivier

Last name Vogt

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I think that the cost have to follow inflation to a certain degree.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-11

Response ID 5623673

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 12:12:30 pm

Personal information

First name Debra

Last name Williams

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

It doesn't stop harm, and there is know evidence to support it does. It's a knee jerk reaction that again targets those you drink responsibly. Just because other council's have adopted this attitude doesn't make it right for Kāpiti. Pathetic excuse

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-24

Response ID 5632909

Date of contribution Apr 12 24 08:41:46 am

Personal information

First name Howard

Last name Woledge

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

No

Would you like to provide feedback on why you don't support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The cost should be self-funding. Ratepayers should not be expected to pay for this activity.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-3

Response ID 5613974

Date of contribution Apr 03 24 04:43:28 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I am in favour if it means less money being paid by ratepayers and a bigger amount is charged to the sellers against their profits.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-8

Response ID 5623640

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 12:03:34 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

It's more cost effective than the current method. However I do not support any restrictions in the sale of Alcohol, other than the current laws of New Zealand imposed by Parliament.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-9

Response ID 5623648

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 12:05:08 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-10

Response ID 5623667

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 12:10:22 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Not everyone drinks, it's only fair the cost is covered more by council and the establishments that will profit

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-12

Response ID 5623744

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 12:49:44 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-13

Response ID 5623775

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 01:06:51 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I believe that retailers, whether they be boutique alcohol retailers, mass-market 'big barn'-style alcohol retailers, or supermarkets who offer alcohol alongside other retail products, should all contribute to the costs of regulating and mitigating the societal effects of alcohol use. I encourage Council to charge as much for alcohol licensing as can reasonably be determined, and for that reason I support Council's proposal.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-15

Response ID 5624071

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 03:02:34 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

User p[ay is a good basic principle, especially when Council/Community resources are limited.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-16

Response ID 5624485

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 05:28:41 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Please let us know what ward you live in

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Alcohol is harmful and should NOT be subsidized by rate payers.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-20

Response ID 5628139

Date of contribution Apr 10 24 07:18:17 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Tax Payers should not pay when others make the profit.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-21

Response ID 5628317

Date of contribution Apr 10 24 08:43:29 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Raumati

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Cost reduction to our people

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-28

Response ID 5657434

Date of contribution Apr 18 24 09:37:30 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I'd love to see greater flexibility in selling alcohol at temporary pop-up venues in my town (Waikanae) and the Kapiti Coast. There are too few establishments that sell alcohol and those that too charge far too much. Several locations could be adapted to sell alcohol for a short, seasonal period, if allowed. This would give greater access to members of our community of all ages to socialize.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-29

Response ID 5659988

Date of contribution Apr 19 24 03:11:49 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Please let us know what ward you live in

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The consumption of alcohol is not essential to a community-focussed economy. While I support sensible alcohol consumption in publicly shared spaces like bars and restaurants, I would rather not subsidise the costs for those businesses through my rates. I would much rather pay more for my drinks when I visit those places.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-30

Response ID 5663870

Date of contribution Apr 22 24 07:07:43 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Ōtaki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Not all ratepayers are alcohol drinkers so why should they be contributing to the cost of the providers and paying more in rates. Perhaps with the increase in shop owners, there will be fewer bottle stores

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-31

Response ID 5663912

Date of contribution Apr 22 24 08:01:45 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Please let us know what ward you live in

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Important to save ratepayers money and use it in more important projects. Alcohol licenses should be paid for by the applicant/retailer not council. Less alcohol shops would be great.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-32

Response ID 5663915

Date of contribution Apr 22 24 08:04:28 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Please let us know what ward you live in

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Because these activities should either be fully user funded or profitable for council. While the proposed bylaw does not make this activity net beneficial to ratepayers, it's a step in the right direction.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-33

Response ID 5664109

Date of contribution Apr 22 24 09:58:35 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Please let us know what ward you live in

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-38

Response ID 5676043

Date of contribution Apr 28 24 09:26:44 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Increase alcohol licensing fees to reduce harm in our community seems sensible. Support.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-39

Response ID 5676045

Date of contribution Apr 28 24 09:28:30 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

Yeah. Charge the liquor stores and bars more. Don't pass on to rate payers.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-43

Response ID 5677932

Date of contribution Apr 30 24 08:10:08 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

My point of view, Rate payers should not be subsidising retail outlets of any description. So alcohol outlets should pay their own costs.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-44

Response ID 5677933

Date of contribution Apr 30 24 08:12:26 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I agree with the proposed liscensing cost be charged to the applicant as part of yhe pricess to relieve ratepayers

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-45

Response ID 5677934

Date of contribution Apr 30 24 08:14:58 am

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one):

As an individual

Do you or your business supply or sell alcohol?

No

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

The License fees should be set to recover most of the costs of licensing and adjusted annually for inflation.

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw Submissions



Respondent No. A-47

Response ID 5607746

Date of contribution Mar 28 24 04:18:21 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): as an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in Raumati

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: No

Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw submissions

Do you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees bylaw?

Yes

Would you like to provide feedback on why you support the proposed Alcohol Licensing Fees Bylaw?

I support the proposal to increase fees and recover the cost of doing this work